

Leader as Researcher for Growth Potential of Future Youth Leaders

District Enrollment for Future Leadership:

44 and under	"1st half of the career"	10% (n=170ish)
45 to 65	"2nd half of the career"	34%
65 and over	"after career"	56%

Of the 170 District Members who are 44 and under:

Region 1 = 63 = 39%	Region 2 = 13 = 8%	Region 3 = 32 = 20%	Region 4 = 52 = 33%
---------------------	--------------------	---------------------	---------------------

Youth Imbalance:

4 Clubs hold 25+% of the "youth" which means that 7% of the Clubs hold 25+% of the "youth"

10 Clubs hold 50+% of the "youth" which means that 19% of the Clubs hold 51+ of the "youth"

Club References with "Pockets of 44 and under"

Terra Rubra	14		Mt Airy 4 County	9
Grantsville	14		Kitzmiller	9
Greater Carroll	13		Oakland	9
FSU Campus*	11		Williamsport	7
Freedom District	11		Hampstead	7

(PDG + 2) Longevity Concept?

What does it look like to have more clubs with 7+ members who are "44 and under" for future youth in leadership? Lions Clubs could pair their PDG + membership chair + a "44 and under member" to work on growth of **youth members for future leadership.**

Thurmont	Freedom District	Terra Rubra
Kitzmiller	Cumberland	Lineboro Manchester
Hagerstown	Roaring Run	Middletown
LaVale	South Carroll Lioness	Taneytown
Flintstone **	Frederick	Deer Park
Hancock	Frostburg	Chewsville
Rawlings Potomac Valley	Greater Carroll*	Grantsville

Five of the ten clubs that have 7+ members who are "44 and under" don't have a PDG (or to be).

Five of Twenty One Clubs who have a PDG (or to be) already have a "44 and under" county of 7+ members.